

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,423	10/083,423 02/26/2002		John M. Spangler	01-544US	6747	
719 Caterpillar Inc	7590 C.	08/07/2007		EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Dept. AB 6490 100 N.E. Adams Street PEORIA, IL 61629-6490				MILEF,	MILEF, ELDA G	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3692		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				08/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/083,423 SPANGLER, JOHN M. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Elda Milef 3692 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Elda Milef. (3) Christian Davignonaubut. (2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 03 August 2006. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1-7. Identification of prior art discussed: Baumann et al. (US 2004/0243483); Moderegger et al. (US 2002/0049642). Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections pertaining to claims 4-6,7-10 were discussed. The 103 rejection and the application of the references Baumann and Moderegger as well as possible amendments to the claims were discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

**Interview Summary** 

xaminer's signature, if required